arXiv:1703.09634v1 [math-ph] 28 Mar 2017

# ON THOULESS BANDWIDTH FORMULA IN THE HOFSTADTER MODEL

STÉPHANE OUVRY (*) AND SHUANG WU (*)

Abstract. We generalize Thouless bandwidth formula to its $n$-th moment. We obtain a closed expression in terms of polygamma, zeta and Euler numbers.

(*) LPTMS, CNRS-Faculté des Sciences d'Orsay, Université Paris Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

## 1. Introduction

In a series of stunning papers stretching over almost a decade [1] Thouless obtained a closed expression for the bandwidth of the Hofstadter spectrum [2] in the $q \to \infty$ limit. Here the integer $q$ stands for the denominator of the rational flux $\gamma = 2\pi p/q$ of the magnetic field piercing a unit cell of the square lattice; the numerator $p$ is taken to be 1 (or equivalently $q-1$)[1].

Let us recall that in the commensurate case where the lattice eigenstates $\psi_{m,n} = e^{ink_y}\Phi_m$ are $q$-periodic $\Phi_{m+q} = e^{iqk_x}\Phi_m$, with $k_x, k_y \in [-\pi, \pi]$, the Schrodinger equation

$$\Phi_{m+1} + \Phi_{m-1} + 2\cos(k_y + \gamma m)\Phi_m = e\Phi_m \tag{1}$$

reduces to the $q \times q$ secular matrix

$$m_{p/q}(e, k_x, k_y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\cos(k_y) - e & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e^{-iqk_x} \\ 1 & 2\cos(k_y + \frac{2\pi p}{q}) - e & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & () & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & () & 1 \\ e^{iqk_x} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 2\cos(k_y + (q-1)\frac{2\pi p}{q}) - e \end{pmatrix}$$

acting as

$$m_{p/q}(e, k_x, k_y).\Phi = 0 \tag{2}$$

on the $q$-dimensional eigenvector $\Phi = \{\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_{q-1}\}$. Thanks to the identity

$$\det(m_{p/q}(e, k_x, k_y)) = \det(m_{p/q}(e, 0, 0)) - 2(-1)^q(\cos(qk_x) - 1 + \cos(qk_y) - 1),$$

the Schrodinger equation $\det(m_{p/q}(e, k_x, k_y)) = 0$ rewrites [3] as

$$\det(m_{p/q}(e, 0, 0)) = 2(-1)^q(\cos(qk_x) - 1 + \cos(qk_y) - 1) \tag{3}$$

The polynomial

$$b_{p/q}(e) = -\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{q}{2}]} a_{p/q}(2j)e^{2j}$$

materializes in $\det(m_{p/q}(e, 0, 0))$

$$\det(m_{p/q}(e, 0, 0)) + 4(-1)^q = (-1)^q e^q b_{p/q}(1/e) \tag{4}$$

so that eq. (3) becomes

$$e^q b_{p/q}(1/e) = 2(\cos(qk_x) + \cos(qk_y)).$$

*Date*: March 29, 2017.

[1] In the sequel $p$ is understood to be equal to 1.




FIGURE 1. $p = 1, q = 3, e^q b_{p/q}(1/e) = e^3 - 6e$ : the 3 horizontal red segments are the energy bands; the 3 red dots are the mid-band energies; the 6 black dots are the $\pm 4$ edge-band energies.

The $a_{p/q}(2j)$'s (with $a_{p/q}(0) = -1$) are related to the Kreft coefficients [4]: how to get an explicit expression for these coefficients is explained in Kreft's paper.

We focus on the Hofstadter spectrum bandwidth defined in terms of the $2q$ edge-band energies $e_r(4)$ and $e_r(-4)$, $r = 1, 2, \ldots, q$, solutions of

$$e^q b_{p/q}(1/e) = 4 \text{ and } e^q b_{p/q}(1/e) = -4$$

respectively (see Figures 1 and 2). If one specifies an ordering for the $e_r(4)$'s and the $e_r(-4)$'s

$$e_1(4) \leq e_2(4) \leq \ldots \leq e_q(4) \quad \text{and} \quad e_1(-4) \leq e_2(-4) \leq \ldots \leq e_q(-4)$$

the bandwidth is

$$(-1)^{q+1} \sum_{r=1}^{q} (-1)^r \big(e_r(-4) - e_r(4)\big). \tag{5}$$

The Thouless formula is obtained in the $q \to \infty$ limit as

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} (-1)^{q+1} q \sum_{r=1}^{q} (-1)^r \big(e_r(-4) - e_r(4)\big) = \frac{32}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} \tag{6}$$

(see also [5]). We aim to extend this result to the $n$-th moment defined as

$$(-1)^{q+1} \sum_{r=1}^{q} (-1)^r \big(e_r^n(-4) - e_r^n(4)\big). \tag{7}$$

which is a natural generalization[2] of (5): one can think of it as

$$n \int_{-4}^{4} \tilde{\rho}_{p/q}(e) e^{n-1} de$$

[2]Computing also the bandwidth $n$-th moment

$$(-1)^{q+1} \sum_{r=1}^{q} (-1)^r \big(e_r(-4) - e_r(4)\big)^n, \tag{8}$$

here defined for $n$ odd, would be of particular interest. We will come back to this question in the conclusion.




FIGURE 2. $p = 1, q = 4, e^q b_{p/q}(1/e) = e^4 - 8e^2 + 4$ : the 4 horizontal red segments are the energy bands; the 4 red dots are the mid-band energies; the 8 black dots are the $\pm 4$ edge-band energies –there are two degenerate dots located at the center.

where $\tilde{\rho}_{p/q}(e)$ is the indicator function with value 1 when $|e^q b_{p/q}(1/e)| \leq 4$ and 0 otherwise.

Trivially (7) vanish when $n$ is even –we will see later how to give a non trivial meaning to the $n$-th moment in this case. Therefore we focus on (7) when $n$ is odd and, additionnally, when $q$ is odd, in which case it simplifies further to

$$-2\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r e_r^n(4) = 2\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r e_r^n(-4) \tag{9}$$

thanks to the symmetry $e_r(-4) = -e_{q+1-r}(4)$. As said above, the $e_r(4)$'s are the roots of $e^q b_{p/q}(1/e) = 4$ that is, by the virtue of (4), those of

$$\det(m_{p/q}(e,0,0)) = 0.$$

## 2. The first moment : Thouless formula

The key point in the observation of Thouless [1] is that if evaluating the first moment rewritten in (9) as $2\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r e_r(4)$ when $q$ is odd seems at first sight untractable, still,

- thanks to $\det(m_{p/q}(e,0,0))$ factorizing as

$$\det(m_{p/q}(e,0,0)) = -\det(m_{p/q}^{++}(e))\det(m_{p/q}^{--}(e))$$

where

$$m^{++}_{p/q}(e)=\begin{pmatrix} e-2 & 2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1\\ 1 & e-2\cos(\frac{2\pi p}{q}) & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & () & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & () & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & e-2\cos(\frac{q-1}{2}\frac{2\pi p}{q})-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m^{--}_{p/q}(e)=\begin{pmatrix} e-2\cos(\frac{2\pi p}{q}) & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1\\ 1 & e-2\cos(\frac{4\pi p}{q}) & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & () & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & () & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & e-2\cos(\frac{q-1}{2}\frac{2\pi p}{q})+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are matrices of size $(q+1)/2$ and $(q-1)/2$ respectively, so that the $e_r(4)$'s split in two packets $e^{++}_r$, $r=1,2,\ldots,(q+1)/2$, the roots of $\det(m^{++}_{p/q}(e))=0$ and $e^{--}_r$, $r=1,2,\ldots,(q-1)/2$, those of $\det(m^{--}_{p/q}(e))=0$

- and thanks to $\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r e_r(4)$ happening to rewrite as

$$-\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r e_r(4)=\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}|e^{++}_r|-\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}|e^{--}_r|$$

(9) becomes tractable since it reduces to the sum of the absolute values of the roots of two polynomial equations.

Indeed using [1]

$$\frac{2i}{\pi}\int_{-ix}^{ix}\left(\frac{z}{z-a}-1\right)dz=\frac{4a}{\pi}\arctan(\frac{x}{a}),$$

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{4a}{\pi}\arctan(\frac{x}{a})=2|a|$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{2i}{\pi}\int_{-ix}^{ix}\left(\frac{z}{z-a}-1\right)dz=\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{2i}{\pi}\int_{-ix}^{ix}\left(-\log\frac{z-a}{z}\right)dz$$

one gets

$$2\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}|e^{++}_r|-\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}|e^{--}_r|\right)=\frac{2i}{\pi}\lim_{x\to\infty}\int_{-ix}^{ix}\log\left(\frac{z\det(m^{--}_{p/q}(z))}{\det(m^{++}_{p/q}(z))}\right). \tag{10}$$

Making [1] further algebraic manipulations on the ratio of determinants in (10) in particular in terms of particular solutions $\{\Phi_0,\Phi_1,\ldots,\Phi_{q-1}\}$ of (2) –on the one hand $\Phi_0=0$ and on the other hand $\Phi_{(q-1)/2}=\Phi_{(q+1)/2^-}$ and then for large $q$ taking in (1) the continuous limit lead to, via the change of variable $y=qz/(8\pi i)$,

$$\lim_{q\to\infty}2q\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}|e^{++}_r|-\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}|e^{--}_r|\right)=32\int_0^\infty\log\left(\frac{\Gamma(3/4+y)^2}{y\Gamma(1/4+y)^2}\right)dy$$

This last integral gives the first moment

$$\lim_{q\to\infty}q\left(\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r\big(e_r(-4)-e_r(4)\big)\right)=\frac{4}{\pi}\left(\psi^{(1)}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)-\pi^2\right) \tag{11}$$

which is a rewriting of (6) ($\psi^{(1)}$ is the polygamma function of order 1).

## 3. The $n$-th moment

3.1. $n$ **odd:** to evaluate the $n$-th moment one follows the steps above by first noticing that

$$-\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r e_r^n(4)=\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}|e_r^{++}|^n-\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}|e_r^{--}|^n$$

holds. Then using

$$\frac{2i}{\pi}\int_{-ix}^{ix}\left(\frac{z^n}{z-a}-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}a^k z^{n-1-k}\right)dz=\frac{4a^n}{\pi}\arctan(\frac{x}{a}),$$

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{4a^n}{\pi}\arctan(\frac{x}{a})=2|a^n|$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{2i}{\pi}\int_{-ix}^{ix}\left(\frac{z^n}{z-a}-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}a^k z^{n-1-k}\right)dz=\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{2i}{\pi}\int_{-ix}^{ix}-nz^{n-1}\left(\log\frac{z-a}{z}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^k}{kz^k}\right)dz \tag{12}$$

one gets

$$2\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}|e_r^{++}|^n-\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}|e_r^{--}|^n\right)=\frac{2i}{\pi}\lim_{x\to\infty}\int_{-ix}^{ix}nz^{n-1}\left(\log\left(\frac{z\det(m_{p/q}^{--}(z))}{\det(m_{p/q}^{++}(z))}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}(e_r^{++})^k-\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(e_r^{--})^k}{kz^k}\right)dz. \tag{13}$$

In the RHS of (12) the polynomial $z^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^k}{kz^k}$ cancels the positive or nul exponents in the expansion around $z=\infty$ of the logarithm term $z^{n-1}\log\frac{z-a}{z}$. Likewise, in (13), the same mechanism takes place for $-z^{n-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}(e_r^{++})^k-\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(e_r^{--})^k}{kz^k}\right)$ with respect to $z^{n-1}\log\left(\frac{z\det(m_{p/q}^{--}(z))}{\det(m_{p/q}^{++}(z))}\right)$. Additionally, the polynomials can be reduced to their $k$ even components. Further algebraic manipulations in (13) and, when $q$ is large, taking the continous limit, lead to, via the change of variable $y=qz/(8\pi i)$,

$$\lim_{q\to\infty}2q^n\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}|e_r^{++}|^n-\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}|e_r^{--}|^n\right)=(8\pi i)^{n-1}32\int_0^{\infty}ny^{n-1}\left(\log\left(\frac{\Gamma(3/4+y)^2}{y\Gamma(1/4+y)^2}\right)+\sum_{k=2,k\text{ even}}^{n-1}\frac{E_k}{k4^k y^k}\right)dy. \tag{14}$$

To go from (13) to (14) one has used that for $k$ even, necessarily[3]

$$\lim_{q\to\infty}q^k\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}(e_r^{++})^k-\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(e_r^{--})^k\right)=(2\pi)^k|E_k| \tag{15}$$

where the $E_k$'s are the Euler numbers. Indeed in (14), as it was the case in (12,13), the polynomial $\sum_{k=2,k\text{ even}}^{n-1}E_k/(k4^k)y^{n-1-k}$ cancels the positive or nul exponents in the expansion around $y=\infty$ of the logarithm term $y^{n-1}\log\left(\frac{\Gamma(3/4+y)^2}{y\Gamma(1/4+y)^2}\right)$ [6]. It amounts to a fine tuning at the infinite upper

[3](15) is also strongly supported by numerical simulations. More generally the $k$-th moments $\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}(e_r^{++})^k$ and $\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(e_r^{--})^k$ can be directly retrieved from the coefficients of $\det(m_{p/q}^{++}(e))$ and $\det(m_{p/q}^{--}(e))$ respectively. In particular one finds $\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}e_r^{++}=2$ and $\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}e_r^{--}=-2$; for $k$ odd $\lim_{q\to\infty}\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}(e_r^{++})^k=4^k/2$ and $\lim_{q\to\infty}\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(e_r^{--})^k=-4^k/2$; for $k$ even $\lim_{q\to\infty}1/q\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(e_r^{++})^k\right)=\lim_{q\to\infty}1/q\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(e_r^{--})^k\right)=\binom{k}{k/2}^2/2$. This last result can easily be understood in terms of the number $\binom{k}{k/2}^2$ of closed lattice walks with $k$ steps [7].

integration limit so that after integration the end result is finite. Performing this last integral gives the $n$-th moment

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} q^n \left(\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r \left(e_r^n(-4)-e_r^n(4)\right)\right) = \frac{4}{\pi}\left((-1)^{n-1}\psi^{(n)}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) - 2^n\left(2^{n+1}-1\right)\zeta(n+1)n!\right) \tag{16}$$

which generalizes the Thouless formula (11) to $n$ odd ($\psi^{(n)}$ is the polygamma function of order $n$).

3.2. $n$ **even:** as said above the $n$-th moment trivially vanishes when $n$ is even. In this case, we should rather consider a $n$-th moment restricted to the positive –or equivalently by symmetry negative– half of the spectrum[4]. In the $q$ odd case it is

$$-\sum_{r=1}^{(q-1)/2}(-1)^r\left(e_r^n(-4)-e_r^n(4)\right)+e^n_{(q+1)/2}\left((-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}4\right) = \sum_{(q+3)/2}^{q}(-1)^r\left(e_r^n(-4)-e_r^n(4)\right)+e^n_{(q+1)/2}\left((-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}4\right). \tag{17}$$

It is still true that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}(e_r^{++})^n - \sum_{r=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(e_r^{--})^n = \sum_{(q+3)/2}^{q}(-1)^r\left(e_r^n(-4)-e_r^n(4)\right)+e^n_{(q+1)/2}\left((-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}4\right)$$

where, since $n$ is even, absolute values are not needed anymore, a simpler situation. It follows that the right hand side of (16) also gives, when $n$ is even, twice the $q\to\infty$ limit of the half spectrum $n$-th moment as defined in (17), up to a factor $q^n$.

3.3. **Any** $n$**:** one reaches the conclusion that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{4}{\pi}\left((-1)^{n-1}\psi^{(n)}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) - 2^n\left(2^{n+1}-1\right)\zeta(n+1)n!\right) \qquad (18)\\
=\ &\frac{2}{\pi}4^{n+1}n!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^{n+1}}\\
=\ &\frac{2}{\pi}n!\big(\zeta(n+1,\frac{1}{4})-\zeta(n+1,\frac{3}{4})\big)
\end{aligned}$$

yields $q^n$ times the $n$-th moment when $n$ is odd[5] and twice the half spectrum $n$-th moment when $n$ is even. Numerical simulations do confirm convincingly this result (eventhough the convergence is slow). In the $n$ even case one already knows from (15) that (18) simplifies further to

$$2|E_n|\big(2\pi\big)^n$$

from which one gets for the $n\to\infty$-moment scaling

$$\mu\ n!2^{2n}$$

where

$$\mu = \lim_{n\to\infty, n \text{ even}} \frac{2^{1-n}\pi^n|E_n|}{n!} = 2.54647\ldots.$$

[4]Instead of $n\int_{-4}^{4}\tilde\rho_{p/q}(e)e^{n-1}de$ one considers

$$n\int_{-4}^{0}\tilde\rho_{p/q}(e)e^{n-1}de = n\int_{0}^{4}\tilde\rho_{p/q}(e)e^{n-1}de.$$

.

[5]When $n$ is odd it is also twice the half spectrum $n$-th moment

$$n\int_{-4}^{4}\tilde\rho_{p/q}(e)e^{n-1}de = 2n\int_{0}^{4}\tilde\rho_{p/q}(e)e^{n-1}de.$$

.

## 4. Conclusion and opened issues

(18) is certainly a simple and convincing $n$-th moment generalization of the Thouless bandwidth formula (6). It remains to be proven on more solid grounds for example in the spirit of [5].

In the definition of the $n$-th moment (7) one can view the exponent $n$ as a magnifying loop of the Thouless first moment. (18) was obtained for $p = 1$ (or $q-1$): it would certainly be interesting to understand what happens for $p \neq 1$ where numerical simulations indicate a strong $p$ dependence when $n$ increases, an effect of the $n$-zooming inherent to the $n$-th moment definition (7).

In the $n$ even case, twice the half spectrum $n$-th moment ends up being equal to $2|E_n|(2\pi/q)^n$, a result that can be interpretated as if, at the $n$-zooming level, they were $2|E_n|$ bands each of length $2\pi/q$. It would be interesting to see if this Euler counting has a meaning in the context of lattice walks [7] (twice the Euler number $2|E_n|$ counts the number of alternating permutations in $S_n$).

Finally, returning to the bandwidth $n$-th moment defined in (8) for $n$ odd, and focusing again on $q$ odd, one can expand

$$\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r\big(e_r(-4)-e_r(4)\big)^n=-2\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^k\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r e_r(-4)^k e_r(4)^{n-k} \tag{19}$$

where the symmetry $e_r(-4) = -e_{q+1-r}(4)$ has again been used. The $k = 0$ term $-2\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r e_r(4)^n$ is the $n$-th moment discussed above and one knows that multiplying it by $q^n$ ensures in the $q \to \infty$ limit a finite scaling. Let us also multiply in (19) the $k = 1, \dots, (n-1)/2$ terms by $q^n$: one checks numerically that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{q\to\infty} -2q^n\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r e_r(-4)^k e_r(4)^{n-k} &= \frac{n-2k}{n}\lim_{q\to\infty} -2q^n\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r e_r(4)^n\\ &= \frac{n-2k}{n}\frac{4}{\pi}\left((-1)^{n-1}\psi^{(n)}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)-2^n\left(2^{n+1}-1\right)\zeta(n+1)n!\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^k\frac{n-2k}{n}=0$$

one concludes that in the $q \to \infty$ limit the bandwidth $n$-th moment is such that

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} q^n\sum_{r=1}^{q}(-1)^r\big(e_r(-4)-e_r(4)\big)^n=0$$

when $n$ is odd, a fact which is also supported by numerical simulations[6]. Clearly, multiplying the sum in (19) by $q^n$ is insufficient, a possible manifestation of the fractal structure [5] of the band spectrum. We leave to further studies the question of finding a right scaling for the bandwidth $n$-th moment.

## 5. Acknowledgements

S. O. acknowlegdes interesting discussions with Eugène Bogomolny and Stephan Wagner and thank Alain Comtet for a careful reading of the manuscript. Discussions with Vincent Pasquier are also acknowledged.

[6]Similarly, when $n$ is even, the bandwidth $n$-th moment now defined as

$$\sum_{r=1}^{q}\big(e_r(-4)-e_r(4)\big)^n$$

is such that $\lim_{q\to\infty} q^n\sum_{r=1}^{q}\big(e_r(-4)-e_r(4)\big)^n=0$.

## References


[1] D.J. Thouless, "Bandwidths for a quasiperiodic tight-binding model", Phys. Rev. B 28 (1983) 4272-4276; "Scaling for the Discrete Mathieu Equation", Commun. Math. Phys. 127 (1990) 187-193; D.J. Thouless and Y. Tan, "Total bandwidth for the Harper equation. III. Corrections to scaling ", J. Phys. A Math. Gen. 24 (1991) 4055-4066.

[2] D.R. Hofstadter, "Energy levels and wave functions of Bloch electrons in rational and irrational magnetic fields", Phys. Rev. B **14** (1976) 2239.

[3] W. Chambers, Phys. Rev A140 (1965), 135143.

[4] C. Kreft, "Explicit Computation of the Discriminant for the Harper Equation with Rational Flux", SFB 288 Preprint No. 89 (1993).

[5] B. Helffer and P. Kerdelhué, "On the total bandwidth for the rational Harper's equation", Comm. Math. Phys. 173 (1995), no. 2, 335-356 ; Y. Last, "Zero Measure for the Almost Mathieu Operator", Commun. Math. Phys. 164 (1994) 421-432; " Spectral Theory of Sturm-Liouville Operators on Infinite Intervals: A Review of Recent Developments ", in W.O. Amrein, A.M. Hinz, D.B. Pearson "Sturm-Liouville Theory: Past and Present", 99120 (2005) Birkhauser Verlag Basel/Switzerland.

[6] It can be shown explicitly that $-\sum_{k=2,\,k\,\text{even}}^{\infty} \frac{E_k}{k4^k y^k}$ is the series expansion of $\log\left(\frac{\Gamma(3/4+y)^2}{y\Gamma(1/4+y)^2}\right)$ as $y \to \infty$, S. Wagner, private communication.

[7] S. Ouvry, S. Wagner and S. Wu, "On the algebraic area of lattice walks and the Hofstadter model", Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 49 (2016) 495205.